

ASSAM AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY
Directorate of Post-Graduate Studies

THESIS/PROJECT EVALUATION REPORT

1. **Title of the thesis/project:**
2. **Name of the student:**
3. **Degree programme:** M.Sc.(Agri)/M.Sc.(Community Science)/ M.V.Sc./M.F.Sc.
/M.Sc.(Food Technology)/MBA(Agri-Bussiness)/Ph.D.:
4. **Department/Discipline of the student:**
5. **Report(Summary):**
 - A. **Acceptance of the thesis/project (Please tick mark the appropriate bullet):**
 - The thesis is accepted and considered fit enough for conducting the examination
 - The thesis is rejected on grounds specified below:
 - The thesis requires to be resubmitted after revision in the light of the detailed report after the expiry of one semester.
 - B. **Fitness of the thesis for publication:**
 - The thesis is fit for publication in its original form or in any modified form
 - The thesis is of such outstanding merit that the University would be justified in publishing it at own cost.

Signature of the External Examiner:
Name of the External Examiner:

Date:

Designation & Complete Address of the External Examiner:

Report in Detail:

(Report on each item should be given in detail. Extra sheets may be added, if needed)

1. Technical evaluation of the thesis (Chapter-wise). The Examiner may add more points to the ones listed below.

i) Introduction:

- a) Has the author been able to introduce the theme of research with justification of conducting the research work:
- b) Are the objectives well defined, relevant to the theme of research, and achievable:

ii) Review of Literature¹:

- a) Is the review relevant to the theme of research:
- b) Is the review exhaustive and critical:
- c) Are all works cited in the chapter 'Bibliography':

iii) Materials and Methods/Methodology:

- a) Has the author adequately described the materials used and the methodologies adopted in carrying out the research, in a comprehensible manner:
- b) Does the information provided in this chapter adequately match the findings:

iv) Experimental Findings/Results and Discussion:

- a) Are the findings/results presented systematically and clearly:
- b) Has the author adequately used tables, graphs, drawings, photographs etc. to explain the findings/ are some of them redundant:
- c) Has the author discussed the findings logically as well as critically, in relevance to earlier works of similar nature, if any:
- d) Has the author been able to draw inferences from the research and attain the set objectives:

v) Discussion²:

- a) Has the author discussed the findings logically as well as critically, in relevance to earlier works of similar nature, if any:
- b) Has the author been able to draw inferences from the research and attain the set objectives:

- c) Does the chapter look like a mere repetition of the previous chapter ‘Experimental Findings’:

vi) Summary and Conclusion/Summary Report:

- a) Does the chapter reflect the nature of work, time, place, objectives and brief methodology.
- b) Are the important features of the findings placed systematically and clearly:

vii) References/Bibliography³:

- a) Are all references cited in the text listed in this chapter:
- b) Are all references written and arranged following standard norms:

viii) Appendix(ces):

- a) Are the Appendices supportive to the research work:

ix) Abstract: Does the Abstract reflect the purpose of the research, methods used, important findings and their significance

2. Language and Grammar:

- i) Correctness:
- ii) Improvements suggested:

3. Additional comments, if any:

^{1,2 &3}are not for MBA (Agri Business) and IDEA Report